arXiv:0707.2175v1 [math.DG] 14 Jul 2007

Morita equivalence of Poisson manifolds via stacky groupoids

CHENCHANG ZHU
(joint work with Henrique Bursztyn)

The aim of this talk is to present our program to define Morita equivalence in the category of all Poisson manifolds via Morita equivalence of their stacky symplectic groupoids. The talk is based on [3]. Early in [7], Xu invented Morita equivalence of Poisson manifolds with the inspiration from Rieffel's Morita equivalence of $\mathbb{C}^*$-algebras. However it works only for integrable Poisson manifolds, i.e. those Poisson manifolds who process symplectic groupoids. A symplectic groupoid [6] is a Lie groupoid $S \Rightarrow P$ with a symplectic form ω on S satisfying

$$pr_1^*\omega + pr_2^*\omega = m^*\omega, \tag{1}$$

on the set of composable arrows $S \times_P S$ (m is the multiplication on S). Then the base P of the symplectic groupoid $S \Rightarrow P$ has an induced Poisson structure such that the source map $\mathbf{s} : S \to P$ is a Poisson map and the target $\mathbf{t} : S \to P$ is anti-Poisson. In fact there is a one-to-one correspondence between integrable Poisson manifolds and source-simply connected symplectic groupoids.

Morita equivalence of Lie groupoids is well-studied and now widely used in the theory of differentiable stacks. Roughly speaking, differentiable stacks can be viewed as Lie groupoids up to Morita equivalence (see for example [1]). Adding compatible symplectic structure inside, [7] established Morita equivalence of symplectic groupoids and proved further that Poisson manifolds P_1 and P_2 are Morita equivalent if and only if their symplectic groupoids are Morita equivalent.

Now [4] [5] show that even a non-integrable Poisson manifold processes a sort of symplectic groupoid $\mathcal{S} \Rightarrow P$, but $\mathcal{S}$ is not anymore a manifold but an étale differentiable stack[1] which processes a compatible symplectic form as in (1). Then the one-to-one correspondence is extended to the set of all Poisson manifolds and that of source-2-connected symplectic stacky groupoids (see Theorem 2.4).

In our program, we first build Morita equivalence for stacky groupoids, then we add compatible symplectic forms inside and build Morita equivalence for symplectic stacky groupoids and hence for the base Poisson manifolds.

1. STACKY GROUPOIDS AND THEIR PRINCIPAL BUNDLES

We first say a few more words on the stacky groupoid $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ we use. For an exact definition, we refer the reader to [8]. The space of arrows $\mathcal{G}$ is a differentiable stack, and the space of objects M is a manifold. It has $\mathbf{s}$, $\mathbf{t}$, m, e, i as source, target, multiplication, identity, and inverse map respectively, just as in the case of Lie groupoids. The only difference now is that the multiplication is not strictly associative but associative up to a 2-morphism α which satisfies a pentagon condition. The same happens to all the other identities we had before for Lie groupoids. Namely all these identities such as $(gh)k = g(hk)$, $1g = g$, etc., do not hold strictly, but still hold up to something in a controlled way. This '2'-phenomenon is new when we step into the world of stacks. It will come back to haunt us all the time (for example Definition 1.1). The alternative way is to work with Lie 2-groupoids which are essentially equivalent to SLie groupoids [8]. We established Morita equivalence of Lie 2-groupoids there.

---

[1] An étale differentiable stack is a differentiable stack presented by an étale Lie groupoid. Careful readers find out that $\mathcal{S}$ is presented by a groupoid and itself again is a groupoid over a manifold P. But these two groupoids are two different ones. In fact putting them together we have a Lie 2-groupoid [8].

To shorten the notation, we call these stacky groupoids *SLie groupoids*, and when $\mathcal{G}$ is further an étale differentiable stack, a *W-groupoid*[2]. A *symplectic W-groupoid* is a W-groupoid which has a compatible symplectic form as in (1).

To build Morita equivalence, we first need the notion of principal bundles of stacky groupoids.

Definition 1.1 (SLie (W-)groupoid actions)**.** Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an SLie (W-)groupoid over M, $\mathcal{X}$ differentiable stack and $J : \mathcal{X} \to M$ a smooth morphism. A right $\mathcal{G}$-action on $\mathcal{X}$ is a smooth morphism

$$\Phi : \mathcal{X} \times_M \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{X},$$

satisfies the following properties:

(1) $\Phi \circ (\Phi \times id) = \Phi \circ (id \times m)$ holds up to a 2-morphism a;
(2) $J \circ \Phi = \mathbf{s} \circ pr_2$, where $pr_2 : \mathcal{X} \times_M \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$;
(3) $\Phi \circ (id \times (e \circ J)) = id$ holds up to a 2-morphism b.

The 2-morphisms satisfy higher coherences, which roughly says that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & ((xg_1)g_2)g_3 \arrow[dll] & & \\
(xg_1)(g_2g_3) \arrow[dr] & & & & (x(g_1g_2))g_3 \arrow[ull] \\
 & x(g_1(g_2g_3)) \arrow[rr] & & x((g_1g_2)g_3) \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & x(g \cdot 1) \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr] & \\
(xg) \cdot 1 \arrow[rr] & & xg
\end{tikzcd}$$

Given such an action, we can form a quotient stack $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{G}$ as in [2]. Unfortunately, the quotient stack is not always a differentiable stack again. For this, we need principality of the action.

---

[2] The 'W' comes from Alan Weinstein, who suggested this stacky approach to one of the authors.

Recall that an action $\Phi : X \times_M G \to X$ of a Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ on a manifold X is principal if and only if X/G is a manifold and $pr_1 \times \Phi : X \times_M G \longrightarrow X \times_{X/G} X$ is an isomorphism. We have the following definition:

Definition 1.2 (**Principal SLie (W-) groupoid bundles**)**.** Let $\mathcal{G} \Rightarrow M$ be an SLie (-W) groupoid. A left *$\mathcal{G}$-bundle over a differentiable stack $\mathcal{X}$* is a differentiable stack $\mathcal{X}$ together with a smooth morphism $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{S}$ and a right action Φ satisfying

$$\pi \circ \Phi = \pi \circ pr_2 \tag{2}$$

up to a 2-isomorphism $\alpha : \pi \circ pr_2 \to \pi \circ \Phi$. (Here $pr_2 : \mathcal{G} \times_M \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ is the natural projection.) The 2-isomorphism α satisfies a further coherence condition.

The bundle is *principal* if π is a surjective submersion and

$$pr_1 \times \Phi : \mathcal{X} \times_M \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{X}$$

is an isomorphism. Then the action Φ is also called *principal.*

Example 1.3 (A point as a principal $\mathbb{Z}$ bundle). A point *pt* is a principal $\mathbb{Z}$ bundle over the stack $B\mathbb{Z}$. The action of $\mathbb{Z}$ on *pt* is trivial, so it is not principal in the classical sense. However, *pt* is a principal $\mathbb{Z}$ bundle as in Definition 1.2 because $pt \times_{B\mathbb{Z}} pt = \mathbb{Z}$ (see [1] for the definition of fibre product of differentiable stacks) and

$$pt \times \mathbb{Z} \to pt \times_{B\mathbb{Z}} pt,$$

is an isomorphism of stacks.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an SLie (W-) groupoid. If $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{S}$ is a $\mathcal{G}$-principal bundle over $\mathcal{S}$, then $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{G}$ is a differentiable stack and is isomorphic to the base $\mathcal{S}$. Moreover $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{G}$ is presented by a Lie groupoid whose space of arrows is E_Φ/G_1 and whose space of objects is X_0. Here $X_1 \Rightarrow X_0$ is a Lie groupoid presentation of $\mathcal{X}$, $G_1 \Rightarrow G_0$ is that of $\mathcal{G}$ and E_Φ is the H-S bibundle of the $\mathcal{G}$-action Φ.*

2. MORITA EQUIVALENCE OF SLIE GROUPOIDS

Definition 2.1 (Morita equivalence of SLie groupoids)**.** Two SLie groupoids $\mathcal{G}_1 \rightrightarrows M_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2 \rightrightarrows M_2$ are *Morita equivalent* if there is a differentiable stack $\mathcal{X}$ and two smooth morphisms $J_i : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{G}_i$ (moment maps) such that

1. $J_1 : \mathcal{X} \to M_1$ is a right principal $\mathcal{G}_2$-bundle;
2. $J_2 : \mathcal{X} \to M_2$ is a left principal $\mathcal{G}_1$-bundle;
3. $\Phi_2 \circ (\Phi_1 \times id) = \Phi_1 \circ (id \times \Phi_2)$ holds up to a 2-isomorphism a which satisfies six higher coherence conditions.

In this case we call $\mathcal{X}$ a $(\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2)$-*Morita bibundle.*

It is simple to check that Morita equivalence is reflexive ($\mathcal{G}$ itself is a $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G})$-Morita equivalence) and symmetric (use inverses to make right actions into left and vice-versa). However transitivity is nontrivial and we need to use Theorem 1.4.

Moreover we also have,

Proposition 2.2. *If two W-groupoids are Morita equivalent via Morita bibundle* $\mathcal{X}$*, then* $\mathcal{X}$ *is an étale differentiable stack.*

Proposition 2.3. *Two W-groupoids* $\mathcal{G}_i \Rightarrow M_i$ *are Morita equivalent via Morita bibundle* $\mathcal{X}$*. If* $\mathcal{G}_1 \Rightarrow M_1$ *is a Lie groupoid, then* $\mathcal{X}$ *is a manifold and* $\mathcal{G}_2 \Rightarrow M_2$ *is also a Lie groupoid.*

Finally, two symplectic W-groupoids $(\mathcal{G}_1, \omega_1) \rightrightarrows M_1$ and $(\mathcal{G}_2, \omega_2) \rightrightarrows M_2$ are *Morita equivalent* if they are Morita equivalent as SLie groupoids via a symplectic étale stack $(\mathcal{X}, \omega)$ satisfying

$$pr_1^*\omega_1 + pr_2^*\omega = \Phi_1^*\omega, \quad \text{on } \mathcal{G}_1 \times_{M_1} \mathcal{X},$$

where Φ_1 is the action of $\mathcal{G}_1$ on $\mathcal{X}$, and the same for ω and ω_2.

Theorem 2.4. [5] *For any symplectic W-groupoid* $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$*, the base manifold* M *has a unique Poisson structure such that the source map* $\mathbf{s}$ *is Poisson. In this case, we call* $\mathcal{G}$ *a symplectic W-groupoid of the Poisson manifold* M*.*

On the other hand, for any Poisson manifold M*, there are two symplectic groupoids* $\mathcal{G}(M)$ *and* $\mathcal{H}(M)$ *of* M*.* $\mathcal{G}(M)$ *has 2-connected source fibre and* $\mathcal{H}(M)$ *has only 1-connected source fibre.*

Definition 2.5. Two Poisson manifolds M_1 and M_2 are called *strongly Morita equivalent* if $\mathcal{G}(M_1)$ and $\mathcal{G}(M_2)$ are Morita equivalent as symplectic W-groupoids. Respectively, they are called *weakly Morita equivalent* if $\mathcal{H}(M_1)$ and $\mathcal{H}(M_2)$ are Morita equivalent as symplectic W-groupoids.

Strong Morita equivalence implies the weak one, and weak Morita equivalence coincides with the classical one in [7] when applied to integrable Poisson manifolds. But strong Morita equivalence is something new. For example, in [7], with their usual symplectic forms, $\mathbb{R}^2$ and the 2-sphere S^2 are Morita equivalent since all the simply connected symplectic manifolds are Morita equivalent in the classical sense. But they are *not* strongly Morita equivalent because they have different π_2 groups. In fact, only 2-connected symplectic manifolds are strongly Morita equivalent to each other. We hope this π_2-phenomenon will help in symplectic geometry, for example, in the aspect of preservation of prequantization.

References

[1] K. Behrend and P. Xu. Differentiable Stacks and Gerbes,, arxiv:math.DG/0605694.

[2] L. Breen. Bitorseurs et cohomologie non abélienne. In *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. I*, volume 86 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 401–476. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.

[3] H. Bursztyn and C. Zhu. Morita equivalence of weinstein groupoids. in preparation.

[4] H.-H. Tseng and C. Zhu. Integrating Lie algebroids via stacks. *Compos. Math.*, 142(1):251–270, 2006.

[5] H.-H. Tseng and C. Zhu. Integrating Poisson manifolds via stacks. *Travaux mathématique*, 15:285–297, 2006.

[6] A. Weinstein and P. Xu. Extensions of symplectic groupoids and quantization. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 417:159–189, 1991.

[7] P. Xu. Morita equivalence of Poisson manifolds. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 142(3):493–509, 1991.

[8] C. Zhu. Lie n-groupoids and stacky Lie groupoids, arXiv:math.DG/0609420.

arXiv:0707.2175v1 [math.DG] 14 Jul 2007

Computing certain invariants of topological spaces of dimension three

FRÉDERIC FRANÇOIS DÉCHAMPS
(joint work with Bill E. Xample, Max Muster)

The computation of ...

REFERENCES

[1] M. Muster, *Computing certain invariants of topological spaces of dimension three*, Topology **32** (1990), 100–120.
[2] M. Muster, *Computing other invariants of topological spaces of dimension three*, Topology **32** (1990), 120–140.